arXiv:1407.3081v2 [math.GT] 28 Oct 2014

ON CONWAY'S POTENTIAL FUNCTION FOR COLORED LINKS

BOJU JIANG

Abstract. The Conway potential function (CPF) for colored links is a convenient version of the multi-variable Alexander-Conway polynomial. We give a skein characterization of CPF, much simpler than the one by Murakami. In particular, Conway's 'smoothing of crossings' is *not* in the axioms. The proof uses a reduction scheme in a twisted group-algebra $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$, where B_n is a braid group and $\mathbb{P}_n$ is a domain of multi-variable rational fractions. The proof does not use computer algebra tools. An interesting by-product is a characterization of the Alexander-Conway polynomial of knots.

1. Introduction

A *colored link* is an oriented link $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_\mu$ in S^3 together with a map $\{1, \ldots, \mu\} \to \mathbf{T}$ assigning to each component L_i a color label $t_i \in \mathbf{T}$. Here $\mathbf{T}$ denotes the set of color symbols which will also be regarded as independent variables in polynomials and rational fractions. Different components of a link are allowed to share a color. Two colored links L and L' are *isotopic* if there exists an ambient isotopy from L to L' which respects the color and orientation of each component.

The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{T}^{\pm 1}]$, introduced by Alexander [A1] in 1928, is an invariant for colored links with n-colors. Here $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{T}^{\pm 1}]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials with variables in $\mathbf{T}$, and integer coefficients. The Alexander polynomial is defined only up to multiplication by a monomial.

The Conway potential function (CPF) of a colored link L is a *well-defined* rational fraction $\nabla_L(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ with variables in $\mathbf{T}$ that is related to the Alexander polynomial in the following way:

$$\nabla_L(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\Delta_L(t^2)}{t - t^{-1}}, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ \Delta_L(t_1^2, \ldots, t_n^2), & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

This equality is used to remove the ambiguity in the Alexander polynomial, to be called the Alexander-Conway polynomial. CPF was introduced by Conway [C2] in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25; Secondary 20F36.

Key words and phrases. colored links, Conway potential function, Alexander polynomial, skein relations.

Partially supported by NSFC grant #11131008.

1970, but without an explicit model until 1983 by Hartley [H1]. When two colors t_i and t_j merge, simply identify the variables t_i and t_j in $\nabla_L(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$.

Our main result is

Main Theorem. *The Conway potential function ∇_L is the invariant of colored links determined uniquely by the following five axioms, where t_i, t_j, t_k are arbitrary color symbols, repetition allowed (the labels i, j, k in diagrams are shorthand for t_i, t_j, t_k).*

(II) $$\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) + \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) = (t_i t_j + t_i^{-1} t_j^{-1}) \cdot \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right);$$

(III) $$(t_i^{-1} t_j^{-1} - t_i t_j) \left\{ \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) + \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) \right\}$$
$$+ (t_j t_k - t_j^{-1} t_k^{-1}) \left\{ \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) + \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) \right\}$$
$$+ (t_i t_k^{-1} - t_i^{-1} t_k) \left\{ \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) + \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) \right\} = 0;$$

(IO) $$\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) = 0;$$

(Φ) $$\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) = (t_i - t_i^{-1}) \cdot \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right);$$

(H) $$\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) = 1.$$

This is a characterization of the Conway potential function by skein relations. It provides a pedestrian's approach to the main conclusions of the paper [M2] which is hard to read. Murakami's state model for CPF (Theorem 5.3 of that paper) can be validated by checking our axioms in a straightforward way.

Cimasoni's model of CPF [C1, Theorem] can also be validated by our axioms instead of Murakami's. In fact, it was this nice geometric model that first led us to local relations such as (III) and (III_8) (see below).

A comparison between our five axioms and Murakami's six axioms [M2, page 126]:

(A) Conway's "smoothing of same-color crossings" relation

(I) $$\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) - \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right) = (t_i - t_i^{-1}) \cdot \nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\includegraphics[height=1.2cm]{}\end{array}\right)$$

is used by Murakami as his Axiom (1), but is absent in our characterization.

(B) We emphasize that repetition of color labels are allowed in our axioms, because we have no other means to control same-color crossings.

(C) Our three-string Axiom (III) is simpler than Murakami's Axiom (3), see Example 4.10 below.

(D) Our Axioms (II), (IO) and (Φ) are the same as Murakami's Axioms (2), (6) and (5), respectively. In the presence of Axiom (Φ), our Axiom (H) (normalization at the Hopf link) is equivalent to Murakami's Axiom (4) (normalization at the trivial knot). So this difference is minor.

The point (A) above is remarkable. As its consequence, we have

Corollary for Knots. *The Alexander-Conway polynomial $\Delta_K \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ for knots is the invariant of knots determined uniquely by the following three axioms.*

($\mathrm{II_K}$) $\Delta\left(\right) + \Delta\left(\right) = (t + t^{-1}) \cdot \Delta\left(\right)\left(\right)$;

($\mathrm{III_K}$) $\Delta\left(\right) + \Delta\left(\right) = \Delta\left(\right) + \Delta\left(\right)$;

(O) $\Delta\left(\right) = 1.$

Note that the relation ($\mathrm{III_K}$) is different from Conway's four-term relation (cf. Corollary 2.2).

It is well known that the uncolored Alexander-Conway polynomial is characterized by the classical Conway relation

(C) $\Delta\left(\right) - \Delta\left(\right) = (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \Delta\left(\right)\left(\right)$

and (O). The two sides of (C) always have different number of components. The point here is that we now have a characterization within the realm of knots.

The structure of the paper is as follows. New skein relations are gathered in Section 2. The proof in Section 3 is based on the original model of CPF in Hartley [H1]. In Section 4 we develop the language of colored braids and interpret skein relations in the twisted group algebra $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$ over a domain $\mathbb{P}_n$ of multi-variable rational fractions. An algebraic reduction lemma in $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$ in Section 5 is the key to the proof of our main results in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Hao Zheng for helpful discussions. He also thanks the referee for questions that prompted clarification of several points in the exposition.

2. Some 'triangular' skein relations for CPF

In the theorem below we consider link projections that are identical except within a disk where they differ in a specific way. The proof is postponed to the next section, after a discussion of corollaries.

Theorem 2.1. *The Conway potential function satisfies the following skein relation, where t_i, t_j, t_k are arbitrary color symbols, repetition allowed, i, j, k are just shorthand for them in diagrams.*

(III)
$$\begin{aligned}
&(t_i^{-1}t_j^{-1} - t_it_j)\left\{\nabla\left(\right) + \nabla\left(\right)\right\}\\
&+ (t_jt_k - t_j^{-1}t_k^{-1})\left\{\nabla\left(\right) + \nabla\left(\right)\right\}\\
&+ (t_it_k^{-1} - t_i^{-1}t_k)\left\{\nabla\left(\right) + \nabla\left(\right)\right\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$







The relation (III) actually has 12 terms when all brackets are expanded. In the following corollary, (III_4) is Conway's four-term relation which was not given a proof in [H1]. The eight-term relation (III_8) is new.

Corollary 2.2. *The Conway potential function satisfies the following skein relations:*

(III_4)
$$\nabla\left(\right) + \nabla\left(\right) = \nabla\left(\right) + \nabla\left(\right),$$





(III_8)
$$\begin{aligned}
&t_it_j\cdot\nabla\left(\right) - t_i^{-1}t_j^{-1}\cdot\nabla\left(\right)\\
&+ t_j^{-1}t_k^{-1}\cdot\nabla\left(\right) - t_jt_k\cdot\nabla\left(\right)\\
&+ t_i^{-1}t_k\cdot\nabla\left(\right) - t_it_k^{-1}\cdot\nabla\left(\right)\\
&+ \nabla\left(\right) - \nabla\left(\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$









Proof. See Example 4.9 as an application of the skein relator ideal. □

Remark 2.3. The relations (III_4) and (III_8), jointly, imply (III), as shown in Example 4.9. We do not know whether Conway's four term relation (III_4), or the eight term relation (III_8), alone, is powerful enough to replace Axiom (III) in the Main Theorem.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we assume the reader is familiar with the terminology and notation in Sections 2 and 3 of [H1]. Since we use indices i, j, k for colors, we shall use indices a, b, c for crossing points/generating arcs in a link projection, as well as for rows/columns in a matrix.

Let K_r, $r = 1, \ldots, 6$, denote the six colored links appearing in the relation (III), in that order. Number the crossing points $P_1, \ldots, P_m$, then number the generating arcs so that u_a is the generating arc exiting from P_a. Outside of the depicted region, the crossing points and generating arcs should be numbered and colored in the same way for all K_r.

Colors are marked by symbols $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$. A coloring of a link is a map $\theta : \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\} \to \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ which takes u_a to t_i if the generating arc u_a has the i-th color. We use the same notation for the induced linear map $\theta : \mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, u_m^{\pm 1}] \to \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$.

Focus on any one link K_r. Around each crossing point P_a consider a small anticlockwise circle, starting at a point to the right of both over- and under-crossing arcs at P_a. This gives a Wirtinger relator R_a, a word in the free group with basis $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$. Let M_r be the $m \times m$ Jacobian matrix (in the sense of free differential calculus) $M_r := (M_{ab})$ with entries $M_{ab} := (\partial R_a / \partial u_b)^\theta$. This M_r is a matrix in $\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $M_r^{(ab)}$ denote the matrix obtained from M_r by deleting the a-th row and the b-th column. Then the rational fraction

$$D_r(t_1, \ldots, t_n) := (-1)^{a+b} \det(M_r^{(ab)}) / \mathfrak{w}_a^\theta (u_b^\theta - 1), \tag{H1}(2.3)$$

which lies in the quotient field $\mathbb{Q}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of $\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$, is independent of the choice of a, b.

For each i, let κ_i denote the total "curvature" of components of K_r with i-th color. Let ν_i' and $\nu_{i,r}''$ be the number of crossing points, *outside and inside of the depicted region, respectively,* at which the overcrossing arc has i-th color in the link K_r. Let $\mu_{i,r} := \kappa_i - \nu_i' - \nu_{i,r}''$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \to \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be the substitution map sending each t_i to t_i^2. Then the Conway potential function of K_r is

$$\nabla_r(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = D_r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)^\phi \cdot t_1^{\mu_{1,r}} \cdots t_n^{\mu_{n,r}}. \tag{H1}(2.4)$$

In Figure 1 we give pictures in the style of [H1], where t_i, t_j, t_k (repetition allowed) are color labels of the strings u_1, u_2, u_3, respectively. In each picture we add an anticlockwise curl as shown, increasing the number of crossing points to $m + 1$. Clearly, adding such a curl does not change $\mu_{i,r}$ and $\det(M_r^{(ab)})$. We can also assume $a, b > 6$ (by adding more curls elsewhere if necessary).



FIGURE 1

In the following computation, the column vectors $\mathbf{c}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_6$ and the $(m-4) \times (m-7)$ matrix $*$ are common to all r. By Laplace expansion along the first four rows, $\det(M_r^{(ab)})$ is a linear combination of $(m-4) \times (m-4)$ minors $S_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \| \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{c}_\alpha & \mathbf{c}_\beta & \mathbf{c}_\gamma \end{array} * \|$ for $1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 6$. The coefficients are 4×4 minors, readily read off from the matrix $M_r^{(ab)}$. See Table 1. We will use the shorthand $\delta_r := \det(M_r^{(ab)})$.

For K_1, we have Wirtinger relators and Jacobian

$$R_0 = u_0 u_4 u_5^{-1} u_4^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_1 u_4^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_3 u_2 u_3^{-1} u_0^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3 u_1 u_6^{-1} u_1^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_1^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_j - 1 & -t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & 0 & t_k & 1 - t_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & t_k - 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

For K_2, we have Wirtinger relators

$$R_0 = u_5 u_0 u_5^{-1} u_4^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_6 u_1 u_6^{-1} u_0^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_2 u_5^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3 u_2 u_6^{-1} u_2^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_2^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} t_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 - t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & t_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & t_k - 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -t_j & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

For K_3, we have Wirtinger relators

$$R_0 = u_0 u_1 u_6^{-1} u_1^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_5 u_1 u_5^{-1} u_4^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_2 u_5^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3 u_2 u_0^{-1} u_2^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_3^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} 1 & t_k-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & t_j & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -t_j & 0 & t_k-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

For K_4, we have Wirtinger relators

$$R_0 = u_0u_4u_5^{-1}u_4^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_6u_1u_6^{-1}u_4^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_3u_2u_3^{-1}u_0^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3u_6^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_4^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_j-1 & -t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & t_k & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1-t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & 0 & t_k & 1-t_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

For K_5, we have Wirtinger relators

$$R_0 = u_5u_0u_5^{-1}u_4^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_6u_1u_6^{-1}u_0^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_3u_2u_3^{-1}u_5^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3u_6^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_5^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} t_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & t_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & t_k & 1-t_j & 0 & -1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

For K_6, we have Wirtinger relators and Jacobian

$$R_0 = u_0u_1u_6^{-1}u_1^{-1}, \quad R_1 = u_1u_4^{-1}, \quad R_2 = u_2u_4u_5^{-1}u_4^{-1}, \quad R_3 = u_3u_2u_0^{-1}u_2^{-1},$$

$$\det(M_6^{(ab)}) = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc|c} 1 & t_k-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -t_i & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & t_j-1 & -t_i & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -t_j & 0 & t_k-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \mathbf{c}_3 & \mathbf{c}_4 & \mathbf{c}_5 & \mathbf{c}_6 & * \end{array} \right\|.$$

Let

$$\varepsilon := t_1^{\kappa_1-\nu'_1} \cdots t_n^{\kappa_n-\nu'_n} \cdot [(-1)^{a+b}/\mathfrak{w}_a^\theta(u_b^\theta - 1)]^\phi.$$

Then $\nabla_r = \varepsilon \cdot t_1^{-\nu''_{1,r}} \cdots t_n^{-\nu''_{n,r}} \delta_r^\phi$. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_i^{-2}t_k^{-1}\delta_1^\phi, & \nabla_2 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_j^{-2}t_k^{-1}\delta_2^\phi, \\ \nabla_3 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_i^{-1}t_j^{-2}\delta_3^\phi, & \nabla_4 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_i^{-1}t_k^{-2}\delta_4^\phi, \\ \nabla_5 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_j^{-1}t_k^{-2}\delta_5^\phi, & \nabla_6 &= \varepsilon \cdot t_i^{-2}t_j^{-1}\delta_6^\phi. \end{aligned}$$

	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4	δ_5	δ_6
S_{123}	t_i^2	t_j	t_it_j	t_i	1	$t_i^2t_j$
S_{124}	0	$t_j(1-t_i)$	0	$t_i(1-t_i)$	$1-t_i$	0
S_{125}	$t_i(1-t_j)$	0	0	$t_i(1-t_j)$	$1-t_j$	0
S_{126}	t_i	1	1	t_i	1	t_i
S_{134}	0	$t_jt_k(t_i-1)$	$t_it_j(t_i-1)$	0	$t_k(t_i-1)$	0
S_{135}	$-t_it_k$	$-t_j$	$-t_it_j$	$-t_k$	$-t_k$	$-t_it_j$
S_{136}	0	$1-t_k$	$1-t_k$	0	0	$t_i(1-t_k)$
S_{145}	0	$t_j(t_i-1)$	0	$t_k(t_i-1)$	$t_jt_k(t_i-1)$	0
S_{146}	0	$1-t_i$	$1-t_i$	0	$t_k(1-t_i)$	0
S_{156}	t_k	1	1	t_k	t_k	1
S_{234}	t_i^2	$t_j^2t_k$	$t_it_j^2$	t_it_k	t_jt_k	$t_i^2t_j$
S_{235}	$t_i(t_j-1)$	0	0	$t_k(t_j-1)$	0	$t_it_j(t_j-1)$
S_{236}	$t_i(t_k-1)$	0	$t_j(t_k-1)$	0	0	$t_it_j(t_k-1)$
S_{245}	$t_i(t_j-1)$	0	0	$t_k(t_j-1)$	$t_jt_k(t_j-1)$	0
S_{246}	$-t_i$	$-t_jt_k$	$-t_j$	$-t_it_k$	$-t_jt_k$	$-t_i$
S_{256}	$t_k(1-t_j)$	0	0	$t_k(1-t_j)$	0	$1-t_j$
S_{345}	t_it_k	$t_j^2t_k$	$t_it_j^2$	t_k^2	$t_jt_k^2$	t_it_j
S_{346}	0	$t_jt_k(t_k-1)$	$t_it_j(t_k-1)$	0	0	$t_i(t_k-1)$
S_{356}	$t_k(1-t_k)$	0	$t_j(1-t_k)$	0	0	$1-t_k$
S_{456}	t_k	t_jt_k	t_j	t_k^2	$t_jt_k^2$	1

TABLE 1. Coefficient of $S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ in $\delta_r := \det(M_r^{(ab)})$

Denote the left hand side of the relation (III) by F. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_it_jt_k\cdot F &= (t_i^2t_j^2t_k-t_k)(\nabla_1+\nabla_2)+(t_i-t_it_j^2t_k^2)(\nabla_3+\nabla_4)\\ &\quad+(t_jt_k^2-t_i^2t_j)(\nabla_5+\nabla_6)\\ &=\varepsilon\cdot\big[(t_j-t_i^{-1})\delta_1-(t_j^{-1}-t_i)\delta_2+(t_j^{-1}-t_k)\delta_3-(t_j-t_k^{-1})\delta_4\\ &\qquad+(1-t_it_k^{-1})\delta_5-(1-t_i^{-1}t_k)\delta_6\big]^{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

From Table 1, it is straightforward to check that the expression enclosed in brackets equals to 0. Hence $F=0$. □

4. Colored braids and multi-variable skein relators

We first set up a language of colored braids which is more flexible than the one in [M2, Definition 3.2]. Then, more importantly, we clarify the meaning of skein relations. The symbols t_i and t_j in a skein relation, e.g. (III) in Theorem 2.1, carry

the location information of strings, so a priori to be distinguished. On the other hand, t_i and t_j are also interpreted as color symbols, so may be indistinguishable if the relevant strings have the same color. This dual role may cause confusion when we operate on colored braids. To resolve this problem we shall make a distinction between $\mathbb{P}_n$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$, and prefer the notion of skein relators to the commonly used language of skein relations.

4.1. **Links as closed braids.** For braids, we use the following conventions: Braids are drawn from top to bottom. The strands of a braid are numbered at the top of the braid, from left to right. The product $\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ of two n-braids is obtained by drawing β_2 below β_1. The set B_n of all n-braids forms a group under this multiplication, with standard generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. Each n-braid β has an *underlying permutation* of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, denoted $i \mapsto i^\beta$, where i^β is the position of the i-th strand at the bottom of β. In this way the braid group B_n projects onto the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

It is well known that links can be presented as closed braids. The closure of a braid $\beta \in B_n$ will be denoted $\widehat{\beta}$. Two braids (possibly with different number of strands) have isotopic closures if and only if they can be related by a finite sequence of two types of moves:

(1) Conjugacy move: $\beta \leftrightsquigarrow \beta'$ where β, β' are conjugate in a braid group B_n;
(2) Markov move: $\beta \in B_n \leftrightsquigarrow \beta\sigma_n^{\pm 1} \in B_{n+1}$.

4.2. **Colored braids.** Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the set of color symbols. A *colored n-braid* $\beta^{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}$ is defined to be an n-braid β equipped with a sequence $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of color symbols (repetition allowed), where $t_i \in \mathbf{T}$ is the color label on the i-th strand *at the top* of the braid. By convention, when we refer to a colored n-braid β without specifying its color sequence, it is understood that the color label on the i-th strand (at the top) is denoted t_i.

Our notion of colored braids is more general than Murakami's (see [M2, Definition 3.2]) which is the same as the notion of closable colored braid below. We do *not* insist that the color sequences at top and bottom should match. Colored n-braids do not form a group.

4.3. **Colored links as closures of colored braids.** When a colored link L is presented as the closure of an n-braid β, the coloring of L puts a sequence $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of color labels at the top of β, and gives us a colored braid $\beta^{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}$, or β for brevity by our convention in Subsection 4.2. In such a case the color sequences at the top and bottom of β must match, that is, $t_i = t_{i^\beta}$ for all i. A colored braid with this property will be called *closable*. Note that there may be repetitions in the color sequence $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$. The colored link L is then represented as the closure of the closable colored braid β, written $L = \widehat{\beta}$.

Proposition 4.1. ([M2, Theorems 3.3 and 3.5]) *Every colored link can be presented as the closure of a closable colored braid. Two closable colored braids (possibly with*

different number of strands) have isotopic closures if and only if they can be related by a finite sequence of two types of moves:

(1) *Conjugacy move:* $\beta^{(t_1,\dots,t_n)} \leftrightsquigarrow \beta'^{(t'_1,\dots,t'_n)}$*, where the* n*-braids* $\beta' = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$ *for some* n*-braid* α*, and the color sequences* $t'_i = t_{i^\alpha}$ *for all* i*;*
(2) *Markov move: the colored* n*-braid* $\beta^{(t_1,\dots,t_n)} \leftrightsquigarrow$ *the colored* $(n+1)$*-braid* $(\beta\sigma_n^{\pm 1})^{(t_1,\dots,t_n,t_n)}$*.* □

Beware of the color sequence specifications in these moves of colored braids.

4.4. **Skein relators.**

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbb{C}[t_1,\dots,t_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in n variables, and let $\mathbb{C}(t_1,\dots,t_n)$ be its field of fractions, i.e., the field of rational fractions in n variables. Here the variables $t_1,\dots,t_n$ are independent of each other. The reducing homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}[t_1,\dots,t_n] \to \mathbb{C}[t]$ sends all t_i's into a single variable t. A rational fraction in $\mathbb{C}(t_1,\dots,t_n)$ will be called *persistent* if, when it is written in simplified form f/g where f and g are polynomials without common factors, the denominator g does not vanish under ρ. Let $\mathbb{P}_n$ denote the subring of $\mathbb{C}(t_1,\dots,t_n)$ consisting of all persistent fractions. The above homomorphism ρ extends to a ring homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{C}(t)$ (but is not extendable to $\mathbb{C}(t_1,\dots,t_n)$). An element of $\mathbb{P}_n$ is invertible in $\mathbb{P}_n$ if and only if it is not killed by ρ. Clearly the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1},\dots,t_n^{\pm 1}]$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}_n$. The integral domain $\mathbb{P}_n$ is where the coefficients in skein relations belong.

Similarly, for a set $\mathbf{T}$ of color symbols, let $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{T}]$ denote the polynomial ring with variables in $\mathbf{T}$, and let $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{T})$ be the field of rational fractions in these variables. The reducing homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{T}] \to \mathbb{C}[t]$ sends all of $\mathbf{T}$ into a single variable t. As in the last paragraph, ρ extends to a homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \to \mathbb{C}(t)$ from the ring of persistent rational fractions with variables in $\mathbf{T}$. The integral domain $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is where the Conway potential functions belong, and the reducing homomorphism ρ sends the colored CPF to the uncolored CPF.

Let $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ be the free $\mathbb{P}_n$-module with basis B_n. An element of $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ is called *homogeneous* if all its terms (with nonzero coefficients) have the same underlying permutation.

Definition 4.3. We say that a homogeneous element

$$C_1 \cdot \beta_1 + \cdots + C_k \cdot \beta_k$$

of $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ is a *skein relator*, or equivalently, say that the corresponding formal equation (in which $\nabla_{L_{\beta_h}}$ stands for the ∇ of the link L_{β_h})

$$C_1 \cdot \nabla_{L_{\beta_1}} + \cdots + C_k \cdot \nabla_{L_{\beta_k}} = 0$$

is a *skein relation*, if the following condition is satisfied: For any *colored* links $L_{\beta_1},\dots,L_{\beta_k}$ that are identical except in a cylinder where they are represented by the braids $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_k$ respectively (consequently these braids inherit a common color sequence at the top of that cylinder), the formal equation becomes an equality in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ when the variables in the C_h's are substituted by that sequence of color symbols.

Example 4.4. Suppose a homogeneous element $C_1 \cdot \beta_1 + \cdots + C_k \cdot \beta_k \in \mathbb{P}_n B_n$ is given. If the β_h's are colored by a common color sequence $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ (at the top) which makes them closable, then by taking closures and replacing the variables with the color sequence, we get a corresponding rational fraction

$$C_1 \cdot \nabla_{\widehat{\beta}_1} + \cdots + C_k \cdot \nabla_{\widehat{\beta}_k} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}}.$$

The latter vanishes if the former is a skein relator.

Example 4.5. The skein relations (II) (from [H1, (5.1)]), (III) (from Theorem 2.1), (III_4) and (III_8) (from Corollary 2.2) correspond to the following skein relators. (The symbol e stands for the trivial braid.)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{II}_\mathrm{B}) &:= \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^{-2} - (t_1t_2 + t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}) \cdot e;\\
(\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}) &:= (t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} - t_1t_2) \cdot (\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1 + \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1})\\
&\quad + (t_2t_3 - t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1}) \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} + \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1)\\
&\quad + (t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3) \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1});\\
(\mathrm{III}_{4\mathrm{B}}) &:= \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1} - \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1 + \sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 - \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1};\\
(\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) &:= t_1t_2 \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1} + t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} - t_2t_3 \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1\\
&\quad + t_1^{-1}t_3 \cdot \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 - t_1t_3^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} + \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1} - \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

4.5. **Properties of skein relators.**

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that*

$$C_1 \cdot \nabla_{L_{\beta_1}} + \cdots + C_k \cdot \nabla_{L_{\beta_k}} = 0$$

is a skein relation. Then for any given braid $\alpha \in B_n$, the following equations are also skein relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\beta_1\alpha)}} + \cdots + C_k \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\beta_k\alpha)}} &= 0;\\
{}^{\alpha}C_1 \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\alpha\beta_1)}} + \cdots + {}^{\alpha}C_k \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\alpha\beta_k)}} &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where each ${}^{\alpha}C_h(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is obtained from C_h by permuting variables, replacing each variable t_i of C_h with the variable t_j such that $j^\alpha = i$. The permutation of variables $C \mapsto {}^{\alpha}C$ defines a left B_n-action on the coefficient domain $\mathbb{P}_n$.

Proof. (i) Look at the cylinder where the colored links $L_{(\beta_1\alpha)}, \ldots, L_{(\beta_k\alpha)}$ are represented differently by braids $\beta_1\alpha, \ldots, \beta_k\alpha$, respectively. In the upper half cylinder they are represented by braids $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$. So the assumption implies the conclusion.

(ii) Look at the cylinder where the colored links $L_{(\alpha\beta_1)}, \ldots, L_{(\alpha\beta_k)}$ are represented differently by braids $\alpha\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha\beta_k$, respectively. In the lower half cylinder they are represented as braids $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$. So the assumption implies a linear equality $C'_1 \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\alpha\beta_1)}} + \cdots + C'_k \cdot \nabla_{L_{(\alpha\beta_k)}} = 0$, in which the coefficients C'_h are essentially the same as C_h, but with the variables $\{t_i\}$ permuted. Note that by our convention, the variable t_j in C'_h refers to the color label of the j-th strand at the top of the colored braid $(\alpha\beta_h)^{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}$, i.e., the j-th strand at the top of the whole cylinder (for

$\alpha\beta_h$). This strand is exactly the j^α-th strand at the top of the lower half cylinder (for β_h). So the variable t_j in C'_h is the variable t_{j^α} in C_h. Hence $C'_h = {}^{\alpha}C_h$.

(iii) The action of B_n on $\mathbb{P}_n$ is clearly a left action. □

Definition 4.7. In $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$ define the multiplication by

$$(C_1\beta_1)\cdot(C_2\beta_2) := (C_1 \cdot {}^{\beta_1}C_2)(\beta_1\beta_2).$$

Then $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$ becomes a $\mathbb{P}_n$-algebra. Note that it is not the ordinary group-algebra, but is *twisted* by the left B_n-action on the coefficient domain $\mathbb{P}_n$.

Proposition 4.8. *Properties of skein relators:*

(1) *Skein relators are homogeneous elements of the algebra $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$.*

(2) *The sum of two skein relators is not a skein relator unless they have the same underlying permutation.*

(3) *A skein relator when left- or right-multiplied by a braid is still a skein relator.*

(4) *Linear combinations of skein relators form a two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{R}_n$ (called the* relator ideal*) in $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$.*

(5) *Every homogeneous element of $\mathfrak{R}_n$ is a skein relator.* □

Example 4.9. By computation we can verify the following three equalities in $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$:

$$(\mathrm{III}_{4\mathrm{B}}) = \frac{\sigma_1\cdot(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}})\cdot\sigma_2^{-1} - \sigma_1^{-1}\cdot(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}})\cdot\sigma_2}{t_1t_2 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}};$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) = {}& \frac{(\sigma_1^2 - t_1t_2\cdot e)\cdot(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}})}{t_1t_2 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}} + (\mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{B}})\cdot\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\\ & - (\mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{B}})\cdot\left[\frac{t_2t_3 - t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1}}{t_1t_2 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}}\cdot\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} + \frac{t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3}{t_1t_2 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}}\cdot\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\right];\end{aligned}$$

$$(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}}) = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\cdot(\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}})\cdot\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 - (\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) + (\mathrm{III}_{4\mathrm{B}}).$$

By the first two equalities and Proposition 4.8, $(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}}) \in \mathfrak{R}_n$ implies both $(\mathrm{III}_{4\mathrm{B}})$ and $(\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}})$ are in $\mathfrak{R}_n$, hence are skein relators. So Theorem 2.1 implies Corollary 2.2.

Conversely, by the third equality, both $(\mathrm{III}_{4\mathrm{B}}), (\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) \in \mathfrak{R}_n$ would imply $(\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{B}}) \in \mathfrak{R}_n$. So Corollary 2.2 is powerful enough to imply Theorem 2.1.

Example 4.10. Murakami's Axiom (3) for CPF [M2, page 126] corresponds to the relator

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{III}_{7\mathrm{B}}) := {}& (t_1 + t_1^{-1})(t_2 - t_2^{-1})\cdot\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 - (t_2 - t_2^{-1})(t_3 + t_3^{-1})\cdot\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_1\\ & - (t_1^{-1}t_3 - t_1t_3^{-1})\cdot(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_2^2\sigma_1^2) + (t_1^{-1}t_2t_3 - t_1t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})(t_3 + t_3^{-1})\cdot\sigma_1^2\\ & - (t_1 + t_1^{-1})(t_1t_2t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}t_3)\cdot\sigma_2^2 - (t_1^{-2}t_3^2 - t_1^2t_3^{-2})\cdot e\end{aligned}$$

which involves 7 braids and has 22 terms when all brackets are expanded.

It is indeed in $\mathfrak{R}_n$ because both $(\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}), (\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) \in \mathfrak{R}_n$ and

$$(\mathrm{III}_{7\mathrm{B}}) \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} = t_1^{-1}t_3 \cdot (\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}) - (t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3) \cdot (\mathrm{III}_{8\mathrm{B}}) + \frac{(t_2t_3^{-1} - t_2^{-1}t_3) \cdot (\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}) - (t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3) \cdot \sigma_1^{-1} \cdot (\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}) \cdot \sigma_2}{t_1t_2 - t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}}.$$

5. An algebraic reduction lemma

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{I}_n$ be the two-sided ideal in $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ generated by (II_B) and $(\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B})$. (When $n = 2$ we ignore $(\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B})$.)

Two homogeneous elements of the algebra $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ are *equivalent modulo* $\mathfrak{I}_n$ (denoted by $\sim$) if

- they have the same underlying permutation, and
- their difference is in $\mathfrak{I}_n$; in other words, their difference is a $\mathbb{P}_n$-linear combination of elements of the form $\beta \cdot (\mathrm{II}_\mathrm{B}) \cdot \beta'$ or $\beta \cdot (\mathrm{III}_\mathrm{B}) \cdot \beta'$ with $\beta, \beta' \in B_n$, all terms of the linear combination having the same underlying permutation.

For example, by conjugation in B_n we have $\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_i^{-2} - (t_it_{i+1} + t_i^{-1}t_{i+1}^{-1}) \cdot e \sim 0$ and $(t_i^{-1}t_{i+1}^{-1} - t_it_{i+1}) \cdot (\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + \sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i) + (t_{i+1}t_{i+2} - t_{i+1}^{-1}t_{i+2}^{-1}) \cdot (\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i + \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}) + (t_it_{i+2}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}t_{i+2})(\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i + \sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}) \sim 0$, for any i.

The following lemma is inspired by the statement of [M1, Lemma on page 460] (where the definition of equivalence was different and the proof was not very clear).

Lemma 5.2. *Modulo $\mathfrak{I}_n$, every braid $\beta \in B_n$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{P}_n$-linear combination of braids of the form $\alpha\sigma_{n-1}^k\gamma$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in B_{n-1}$ where k is 0, ± 1 or 2.*

A braid $\beta \in B_n$ can be written as

$$\beta = \beta_0\sigma_{n-1}^{k_1}\beta_1\sigma_{n-1}^{k_2} \dots \sigma_{n-1}^{k_r}\beta_r$$

where $\beta_j \in B_{n-1}$ and $k_j \neq 0$. We allow that β_0 and β_r be trivial, but assume other β_j's are nontrivial. The number r will be denoted as $r(\beta)$.

The lemma will be proved by an induction on the double index (n, r). Note that the lemma is trivial when $n = 2$, or $r(\beta) \leq 1$.

It is enough to consider the case $r = 2$, because induction on r works beyond 2. Indeed, if $r(\beta) > 2$, let $\beta' = \beta_1\sigma_{n-1}^{k_2} \dots \sigma_{n-1}^{k_r}\beta_r$, then $r(\beta') < r(\beta)$. By inductive hypothesis β' is equivalent to a linear combination of elements of the form $\alpha'\sigma_{n-1}^{k'}\gamma'$, hence β is equivalent to a linear combination of elements of the form $\beta_0\sigma_{n-1}^{k_1}\alpha'\sigma_{n-1}^{k'}\gamma'$. This brings the problem back to the $r = 2$ case. Henceforth we assume $r = 2$.

Since the initial and terminal part of β, namely β_0 and β_r, do not affect the conclusion of the lemma, we can drop them. So we assume $\beta = \sigma_{n-1}^{k_1}\beta_1\sigma_{n-1}^{k_2}$, where $\beta_1 \in B_{n-1}$.

By the induction hypothesis on n, $\beta_1 \in B_{n-1}$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\alpha_1\sigma_{n-2}^\ell\gamma_1$. Note that $\alpha_1, \gamma_1 \in B_{n-2}$ commute with σ_{n-1}. So it suffices to focus on braids of the form $\beta = \sigma_{n-1}^k\sigma_{n-2}^\ell\sigma_{n-1}^m$.

For the sole purpose of controlling the length of displayed formulas, we assume $n = 3$ below. The proof for a general n can be obtained by a simple change of subscripts, replacing σ_1, σ_2 with $\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1}$ and replacing t_1, t_2, t_3 with t_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, t_n, respectively.

Thus, Lemma 5.2 has been reduced to the following

Lemma 5.3. *Every $\sigma_2^k\sigma_1^\ell\sigma_2^m$ is equivalent* (modulo $\mathfrak{I}_n$) *to a linear combination of braids of the form $\sigma_1^{k'}\sigma_2^{\ell'}\sigma_1^{m'}$ where ℓ' is 0, ±1 or 2.*

Proof. Modulo ($\mathrm{II_B}$), we may restrict the exponent k to take values 1, 2 and 3 (we are done if k is 0). If $k > 1$ we can decrease k by looking at $\sigma_2^{k-1}(\sigma_2\sigma_1^\ell\sigma_2)$, so it suffices to prove the case $k = 1$. Again modulo ($\mathrm{II_B}$), we can restrict the exponents ℓ, m to the values ±1 and 2. There are altogether 9 cases to verify.

5 trivial cases (braid identities) :

$$\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1, \quad \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1, \quad \sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1,$$
$$\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1, \quad \sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^2\sigma_1.$$

The case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$: Multiplying ($\mathrm{III_B}$) by σ_2 on the right and σ_1^{-1} on the left, and taking braid identities into account, we get the relation

$$(t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} - t_1t_2)\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 + \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}) + (t_1t_3 - t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot$$
$$\cdot(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}) + (t_2t_3^{-1} - t_2^{-1}t_3)\cdot(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}) \sim 0.$$

The coefficient of $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ is $t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} - t_1t_2$ which is invertible in $\mathbb{P}_n$. Divide through by this coefficient, then $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ is equivalent to a linear combination of braids of the form $\sigma_1^{\pm1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{\pm1}$ and $\sigma_1^{\pm1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}$. So the case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ is verified.

The case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^2$: Multiplying the previous relation by σ_2 on the right, and taking braid identities into account, we see that

$$(t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} - t_1t_2)\cdot\left\{\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_1^{-1}(\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)\right\} + (t_1t_3 - t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot$$
$$\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}) + (t_2t_3^{-1} - t_2^{-1}t_3)\cdot(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}) \sim 0.$$

Similarly to the above case, this reduces $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^2$ to the verified case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$.

The case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2$: Multiplying ($\mathrm{III_B}$) on the right by $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$, we get

$$(\mathrm{III}') \qquad \begin{aligned}(t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} - t_1t_2)\cdot(\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2^2) + (t_2t_3 - t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 + \sigma_1^2)\\ + (t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3)\cdot(\sigma_1^2(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) + e) \sim 0.\end{aligned}$$

This is a relation among pure braids. We have the following set of linear equations, where the first line is (III'), the second line is (III') multiplied by σ_1^{-2} on the left, the third line is nothing but the relator ($\mathrm{II_B}$), and K_1, K_2 are linear combinations of braids of the form $\sigma_1^{k'}\sigma_2^{\ell'}\sigma_1^{m'}$.

$$\begin{cases}(t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3)\cdot\sigma_1^2(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) + (t_2t_3 - t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) \sim K_1,\\ (t_1t_3^{-1} - t_1^{-1}t_3)\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) + (t_2t_3 - t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot\sigma_1^{-2}(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) \sim K_2,\\ \sigma_1^2(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) - (t_1t_2 + t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) + \sigma_1^{-2}(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2) \sim 0.\end{cases}$$

The determinant

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} t_1t_3^{-1}-t_1^{-1}t_3 & t_2t_3-t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t_1t_3^{-1}-t_1^{-1}t_3 & t_2t_3-t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1} \\ 1 & -t_1t_2-t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (t_1t_2-t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})^2$$

is invertible in $\mathbb{P}_n$. So solving these equations in $\mathbb{P}_nB_n$ we see that $\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2$ is equivalent to a linear combination of braids of the form $\sigma_1^{k'}\sigma_2^{\ell'}\sigma_1^{m'}$, as desired.

The case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2$: Multiplying (III′) by σ_2 on the right, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}-t_1t_2)\cdot(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^3)+(t_2t_3-t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})\cdot(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2+\sigma_1^2\sigma_2)\\ +(t_1t_3^{-1}-t_1^{-1}t_3)\cdot(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_1+\sigma_2)\sim 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2$ reduces by ($\mathrm{II_B}$) to the trivial case $\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^2$ and the verified case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^2$, and the coefficient of $\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2$ is invertible in $\mathbb{P}_n$, the case $\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2$ is also verified.

We have verified all 9 cases. Modulo ($\mathrm{II_B}$) we can assume $\ell' \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\}$. Thus Lemma 5.3 is proved.

The inductive proof of Lemma 5.2 is now complete. □

The resulting $\mathbb{P}_n$-linear combination of braids of the form $\alpha\sigma_{n-1}^k\gamma$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in B_{n-1}$ in the Lemma is not unique, but the inductive proof gives us a recursive algorithm to find one.

6. Proof of the Main Theorem

It is clear from Theorem 2.1 that the Conway potential function ∇_L satisfies all these axioms. So, the existence of an invariant satisfying these axioms is already known. The focus is the uniqueness.

In the rest of this section, we forget about the original definition of CPF, and regard the symbol ∇ as a well-defined invariant of colored links which satisfies the five axioms listed in the statement of the Main Theorem. We shall show that such a ∇ is computable, hence uniquely determined because we have assumed that ∇ is well-defined.

Observe that for such a ∇, everything in Subsections 4.4 and 4.5 remains valid if we replace the ideal $\mathfrak{R}_n$ with the ideal $\mathfrak{I}_n$ defined in Definition 5.1, and replace skein relators with homogeneous elements of $\mathfrak{I}_n$.

We will say a colored link L is *computable* if its ∇ is computable. By Proposition 4.1, we may take L to be the closure of a closable colored braid. It suffices to prove the following claim for all n.

Inductive Claim(n). For every closable colored n-braid β, the closure $\widehat{\beta}$ is computable.

The proof of Claim(n) is by induction on n. When $n = 1$, Claim(1) is true because there is only one 1-braid, with color symbol t_1. Its closure is the trivial knot, whose ∇ must be $(t_1 - t_1^{-1})^{-1}$ by Axioms (Φ) and (H).

Now assume inductively that Claim($n-1$) is true, we shall prove that Claim(n) is also true.

Suppose β is a closable colored n-braid. By Lemma 5.2, the braid $\beta \in B_n$ is equivalent to (in a computable way) a $\mathbb{P}_n$-linear combination of braids of the form $\alpha\sigma_n^k\gamma$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in B_{n-1}$ and $k \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\}$. Color these latter braids in the same way as for β. Clearly they are all closable because they have the same underlying permutation. By Example 4.4 the (mod $\mathfrak{I}_n$) equivalence preserves the ∇ of closure of closable colored braids. So the computation of $\nabla_{\widehat{\beta}}$ is reduces to the computation of (a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$-linear combination of) $\nabla_{\widehat{\beta'}}$, where β' is of the form $\alpha\sigma_{n-1}^k\gamma$ with α, γ not involving σ_{n-1} and $k \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\}$.

If $k = 0$, the link $\widehat{\beta'}$ has a free circle. So its ∇ must be 0 by axiom (IO).

If $k = 2$, the link $\widehat{\beta'}$ is the link $\widehat{\alpha\gamma}$ (regarded as a closed $(n-1)$-braid) with a ring attached to the last strand. The latter link is computable by the inductive hypothesis Claim$(n-1)$, so the former is also computable by Axiom (Φ).

If $k = \pm 1$, by Proposition 4.1 the link $\widehat{\beta'}$ is isotopic to the link $\widehat{\alpha\gamma}$ (regarded as a closed $(n-1)$-braid) which is computable by the inductive hypothesis Claim$(n-1)$. So the former is also computable.

Thus Claim(n) is proved.

The induction on n is now complete. Hence ∇ is computable for every closed colored braid. □

Remark 6.1. The induction above, together with the reduction argument of Sections 5, provides a recursive algorithm for computing $\nabla_{\widehat{\beta}}$.

Remark 6.2. A remarkable feature of this algorithm is that it never increases the number of components of links. In fact, all the reductions in Section 5 are by Axioms (II) and (III) which respect the components, while in this Section, components could get removed but never added, by Axioms (IO) and (Φ). So if we start off with a knot, we shall always get knots along the way, the Axioms (IO) and (Φ) becoming irrelevant. Hence the Corollary for Knots stated in the Introduction.

Remark 6.3. The above proof of the Main Theorem does not show that the ideal $\mathfrak{I}_n$ is equal to the relator ideal $\mathfrak{R}_n$. Certainly $\mathfrak{I}_n \subset \mathfrak{R}_n$. It would be interesting to know whether the two relators ($\mathrm{II_B}$) and ($\mathrm{III_B}$) are sufficient to generate the relator ideal $\mathfrak{R}_n$ in $\mathbb{P}_n B_n$, for every n.

References

[A1] J W Alexander, Topological invariants of knots and links, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1928) no.2, 275–306.

[C1] David Cimasoni, A geometric construction of the Conway potential function, Comment. Math. Helv., 79 (2004) no.1, 124–146.

[C2] J H Conway, An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties, pp. 329–358 in book "Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra", Pergamon Press, New York, 1970.

[H1] Richard Hartley, The Conway potential function for links, Comment. Math. Helv., 58 (1983) no.3, 365–378.

[M1] Jun Murakami, On local relations to determine the multi-variable Alexander polynomial of colored links, pp. 455–464 in book "Knots 90", Walter de Gruyter, New York, 1992.

[M2] Jun Murakami, A state model for the multi-variable Alexander polynomial, Pacific J. Math., 157 (1993) no.1, 109–135.

Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: bjjiang@math.pku.edu.cn